

9. (Amended) The lateral track movement system of claim 8, wherein said carrier assembly is locked over said rib by said locking assembly comprising a lower lock and a spring loaded upper lock, said carrier assembly disengaged from said rib when said lower lock is pushed in an upward position thereby pushing up said spring loaded upper lock releasing said carrier assembly to slide along said at least one track rail.

IN THE DRAWINGS

Please note that the notch 58 has been labeled in FIG. 5.

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 2, 3, 5, 8, and 9 have been amended per the Examiner's suggestion. Claim 4 was amended when it was noticed that there was insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation in the claim. Claim 8 has been amended to clarify what is meant.

The Examiner has allowed claims 1 and 11. However, the examiner states in this Office Action that claims 1-10 are objected to on page 3. For purposes of responding to this Office Action, it is assumed that the Examiner means to state that claims 2-10 are objected to. Since the claims with objections have been amended as suggested by the Examiner, Applicant respectfully requests that a Notice of Allowance be issued.

Attached hereto is a marked up version of the changes made to the claims by the current amendment. The attached page is captioned "Version with markings to show changes made."

Respectfully submitted,

Andreou & Casson, Ltd.

By: Heather A. Wakefield

Heather A. Wakefield, Reg. # 53,732